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Summary of Voting on
ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2 N 3624 :
ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000/FPDAM 2, Information technology -- Universal Multiple-Octet AMENDMENT 2: Limbu, Tai Le, Yijing and other characters

|  | Q1 |  |  | Not yet voted | Comments Reasons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approve | Disapprove | Abstention |  |  |
| P-Member |  |  |  |  |  |
| Armenia |  |  |  | X |  |
| Austria |  |  |  | X |  |
| Belgium |  |  |  | X |  |
| Canada | X* |  |  |  | Attachment 1 |
| China | X |  |  |  |  |
| Denmark |  |  | X |  |  |
| Egypt |  |  |  | X |  |
| Finland |  |  |  | X |  |
| France |  |  | X |  |  |
| Germany | X |  |  |  |  |
| Greece |  |  |  | X |  |
| Iceland |  |  |  | X |  |
| India |  |  |  | X |  |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of |  | X\# |  |  | Attachment 2 |
| Ireland |  | X\# |  |  | Attachment 3 |
| Israel |  |  |  | X |  |
| Italy |  |  | X |  |  |
| Japan | X |  |  |  |  |
| Korea, Democratic People's Republic |  |  |  | X |  |
| Korea, Republic of |  | X\# |  |  | Attachment 4 |
| Mongolia |  |  |  | X |  |
| Morocco | X |  |  |  |  |
| Netherlands |  |  | X |  |  |
| Norway |  |  | X |  |  |
| Poland | X |  |  |  |  |
| Romania | X |  |  |  |  |
| Russian Federation |  |  |  | X |  |
| Singapore |  |  |  | X |  |
| Slovenia |  |  |  | X |  |
| Sweden | X |  |  |  |  |
| Thailand |  |  |  | X |  |
| Tunisia |  |  |  | X |  |
| Turkey | X |  |  |  |  |
| USA |  | X |  |  | Attachment 5 |
| Yugoslavia |  |  |  | X |  |
| Total (35) | 9 | 4 | 5 | 17 |  |

*: Approve with comments
\#: Acceptance of the reasons and appropriate changes in the text will change the vote to approval.

## Netherlands

No expertise available

## Norway

No expertise in this area.

Recommended response from CAC SC2:
=========================================
Accept with following editorial comments:
a. Page 1: Italicized 'change xxx by xxx' under Page 13 items.. does not read right..

Please change to 'replace xxx with xxx'etc.
b. Page 3, new entries for collections:

104 and 105 have both LTR ALPHABETIC PRESENTATION FORMS. One of these (we think it is 105) must be RTL ALPHABETIC PRESENTATION FORMS.
============

Regards....Roz
Roz Waddell
Standards Council of Canada
rwaddell@scc.ca

```
Title: Comments accompanying Iran's negative vote on the FPDAM 2 to ISO/IEC 10646-1:2000
Source: ISIRI (Institute of Standards \& Industrial Research of Iran)
Action: To be considered by JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2
```

Iran votes NO on FPDAM 2 to ISO/IEC 10646-1:2000, disapproving the draft in its current form. The negative vote will cease if the following comments get accepted and incorporated in the text, changing Iran's vote to YES.

In the following text, the $\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{ABCD}$ notation is used to refer to character proposed to be encoded at the position ABCD .

## Technical

T1. Pages 18 and 19: Iran requests the names (or the glyphs) for the proposed characters $\mathrm{P}+060 \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{P}+060 \mathrm{E}$ be interchanged, as the current names are clearly wrong. Iran also requests a review of other characters names and shapes which are new to the Arabic block be done by the editors, to make sure there is no other such error.

T2. Pages 18 and 19: Iran requests that the character $\mathrm{P}+0659$ be moved to the position 0615 , since it's a combining character that, unlike the other characters in the range U+064B to U+0655, but like the characters $\mathrm{P}+0610$ to $\mathrm{P}+0614$, applies to the whole word (or a word ending), and not to a single letter. This will help consistency in the new characters proposed to be encoded in the Arabic block.

T3. Pages 18 and 19: Iran requests the character $\mathrm{P}+0603$ to be moved to the position 060B, or some other position in the range 061 C to 061 E , to help group the characters with similiar functionality near each other.

T4. Page 75: Iran requests the character P+FDFD to be renamed to have a name more consistent with the other characters in the range U+FDF0 to U+FDFB. As current transliterations done for the names of those characters recommend the letter E for Arabic Kasra, and since the vowels connecting the words are also Kasra (and not Fatha), the name for the new character should be changed to 'ARABIC LIGATURE BESMELLAH ERRAHMAN ERRAHIM' or 'ARABIC LIGATURE BESMELLAHERRAHMANERRAHIM’

## Editorial

E1. Pages 18 and 20: Iran requests the glyphs for the following proposed characters be revised to be consistent with the rest of the Arabic block:
$\mathbf{P}+0656$ The representative glyph should be similiar in shape and size to U+0670 ARABIC LETTER SUPERSCRIPT ALEF. The Alef-shaped part of the glyph is currently much larger.
$\mathbf{P}+0657$ The representative glyph should be similiar in shape and size to U+064F ARABIC DAMMA. It is currently smaller and comma-shaped instead of being Damma-shaped.
$\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{0 6 5 9}$ The representative glyph should change to Naskhi style which is the style of all other characters in the Arabic block. The current glyph is in Nastaliq style. See also T2.
$\mathbf{P}+06 E E, \mathbf{P}+\mathbf{0 6 E F}$, and $\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{0 6 F F}$ The representative glyphs should become larger in size (compare P+06EE with U+062F, for example) and have their "Inverted V" part consistent with the glyph for $\mathrm{U}+06 \mathrm{C} 9$.

Subject: Irish vote on SC2 N3624 (ISO-IEC 10646-1:2000/FPDAM 2)

Importance: High
SC 2 N 3624:
ISO/IEC 10646-1: 2000/FPDAM 2, Information technology -- Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set (UCS) -- Part 1: Architecture and Basic Multilingual Plane AMENDMENT 2: Limbu, Tai Le, Yijing and other characters
[Closing date: 2002-11-09]
Ireland DISAPPROVES the draft with the technical and editorial comments given below. Acceptance of these comments and appropriate changes in the text will change our vote to approval.

10646-1 FPDAM2
Technical Comments:
T. 1 Change the character name for $U+0658$ to ARABIC MARK NOON GHUNNA -- note that it should not be "NOON-GHUNNA" as was seen in some earlier documentation.
T. 2 In the note to be added at clause 25.3 , it should say "When the normalization forms are applied" not "When the normalizations forms are applied"
T.3. Change the character name for U+FDFD to ARABIC LIGATURE BISMILLAH AL-RAHMAN AL-RAHIM. This transliteration better shows the underlying text, where the transcription ARRAHMAN ARRAHIM hides it due to the assimilation of the article as written.

Editorial Comments:
E. 1 The glyph for the hot beverage at $U+2615$ should be changed to one which is more conventional.

Michael Everson * * Everson Typography * * http://www.evertype.com

Subject: Letter Ballot on SC2 N 3624 (ISO/IEC 10646-1:2000/FPDAM2)
Date: 2002-11-08
NB: KATS

1. We vote "no" due to the following two reasons.
2. reason 1

We used ISO TS 11941:1996 for naming Korean Hangul letters and syllables. However, the transliteration used for naming the following characters in PDAM2 is different from TS 11941.
[p. 63, Table 104]
U+0321D OJEON
$\mathrm{U}+0321 \mathrm{E}$ OHU
U+0327C CHAMKO
U+0327D JUEUI
Considering that, in the future, we may add more Korean Hangul letters in addition to the above characters, we suggest that we set up a transliteration rule for naming Korean Hangul letters and syllables and adhere to that rule.
3. reason 2

Normalization forms for Hangeul letters/syllables are not appropriate for handling Hangeul properly.
[on page 1] Page 2, clause 3 Normative references Add the following reference:
Unicode Standard Annex, UAX\#15, Unicode
Normalization Forms, Version 3.2.0, 2002-03-27. ...

## [on page 2]

Page 13, after clause 23 Order of characters Insert a new clause 24 between the current clause
23 and 24 (the following clauses are incremented by one):

24 Normalization forms
Normalization forms are the mechanisms allowing the selection of a unique coded representation among alternative, but equivalent coded text repre-sentations of the same text. Normalization forms for use with ISO/IEC 10646 are specified in the Unicode Standard UAX\#15. ...

| Title: | Comments accompanying the US negative vote on the FPDAM2 to |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | ISO/IEC 10646-1:2000 |
| Source: | INCITS/L2 |
| Action: | Forward to INCITS |

The US National body is voting NO on the FPDAM2 to ISO/IEC 10646-1:2000. It will change its vote to YES if the technical comment T. 8 is accepted.

## Technical Comments:

## T. 1 Normative References

Update the reference to the Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm to version 3.2

## T. 2 Greek

Add the following characters:
GREEK CAPITAL LUNATE SIGMA (proposed code position: 03F9) as documented by INCITS L2 02/314R,
GREEK CAPITAL LETTER SAN (proposed code position: 03FA) as documented by INCITS L2/313R, GREKK SMALL LETTER SAN (proposed code position: 03FB) as documented by INCITS L2/313R

## T. 3 Arabic

Change the character name for $\mathrm{U}+0658$ to ARABIC MARK NOON GHUNNA

## T. 4 Symbols

Add the character VERTICAL LINE EXTENSION (proposed code position: 23D0) as documented by WG2 N2508. The character addition would complement the existing HORIZONTAL LINE EXTENSION at code position 23 AF .

## T. 5 Annex A. 1 Collections of coded graphic characters

a) Change the entry 105 to read:

105 RTL ALPHABETIC PRESENTATION FORMS
b) The code range for collection 110 should read 2B00-2B7F.
c) To complete the change for collection 63 , the first entry for collection 63 in annex A. 1 (between 62 and 64) should read:
63 (Collection specified as union of other collections)
d) Collection 251 SCRIPT-SPECIFIC FORMAT CHARACTERS should not contain the collection 207
e) After the collection 381, a new collection should be added as follows:

382 CJK COMPATIBILITY IDEOGRAPHS-2003 * described as Collection 381 with the addition of U+FA70FAE9. This is only required if the addition of the range FA70-FAE9 is maintained in this proposed amendment. Note that the US is asking for its removal in comment T8.
f) In the alphabetically list in Note 3, add collections 98 and 99 to the 'Arrows' entry and 380, 381, 382 (as appropriate, see above) to the 'Ideographs' entry.

## T. 6 Annex A. 2 Block lists

Modify the code position range for MISCELLANEOUS SYMBOLS AND ARROWS to 2B00-2B7F

## T. 7 Annex Q and R

In the context of merging the two parts of ISO 10646, the US is asking for an overhaul of Annex Q and R of Part 1 by making the code mapping for Hangul Syllables (Annex Q) and the Names of Hangul Syllables (Annex R) available as separate text files. This will make the information much more usable.

## T. 8 DPRK Compatibility characters

The US asks for removal of characters from FA70 to FAE9.
Rationale:
The US has found many discrepancies in the list of characters (incorrect mapping). For example, the reference mapping for characters FA9D to FAE9 is shifted by one position, leaving the proposed code position FA9D without reference mapping to a CJK Unified character. Some characters like FA8C and FA90 and many others seem to have incorrect mappings. Furthermore, it looks like not enough research has been done to look for existing variants in the CJK repertoire that could have been used for encoding the KP1 characters. Given these issues, the US does not see these proposed additions as mature enough for encoding and is therefore asking for their removal pending further study.

## T. 9 Syriac

Change the glyph for 070F SYRIAC ABBREVIATION MARK to include a default rendering in addition to the current picture, suggested new glyph:


## T. 10 Annex F, Soft Hyphen format character

The SOFT HYPHEN entry in clause F.1.1 should be reworded as follows:

SOFT HYPHEN (OOAD): SOFT HYPHEN (SHY) is a format character that indicates a preferred intra-word line-break opportunity. If the line is broken at that point, then whatever mechanism is appropriate for intraword line-breaks should be invoked, just as if the line break had been triggered by another mechanism, such as a dictionary lookup. Depending on the language and the word, that may produce different visible results, such as:

- inserting a graphic symbol indicating the hyphenation and breaking the line after it,
- inserting a graphic symbol indicating the hyphenation, breaking the line after the symbol and changing spelling in the divided word parts,
- not showing any visible change and simply breaking the line at that point.

The inserted graphic symbol, if any, can be take a wide variety of shapes, such as HYPHEN (2010), ARMENIAN HYPHEN (058A), MONGOLIAN TODO SOFT HYPHEN (1806), as appropriate for the situation.

When encoding text that includes explicit line breaking opportunities, including actual hyphenations, characters such as HYPHEN, ARMENIAN HYPHEN, and MONGOLIAN TODO SOFT HYPHEN may be used, depending on the language.

When a SOFT HYPHEN is used to represent a possible hyphenation point, the spelling is that of the word without hyphenation (for example: "tug<00AD>gumi"). When encoding text that includes hard line breaks, including actual hyphenations, the spelling must reflect the changes due to hyphenation (for example: "tugg<2010>" / "gumi").

## Editorial Comments:

E. 1 Clause 25.3 Alternate coded representations

In the $6^{\text {th }}$ line add a ' $s$ ' at the word 'representation' (after multiple).
E. 2 Clause 27 CJK Unified Ideographs

Add a mention that the clause number is now 28 (following the insertion of clause 24).
End of US comments

