Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2024-10-31	Document: **ISO/IEC 10646:2020/DAM2**	Project: **ISO/IEC 10646:2020/DAM2**

MB/ NC[1]	Line number (e.g. 17)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment[2]	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
Unicode	Code charts			te	In the explanatory report provided for the DAM2 ballot, disposition of comments to CDAM2.4 (= WG2/N5274) are provided. There was a US comment requesting a formal name alias of type “correction” for U+1680B, and the disposition was “Accepted” Based on that, we would expect to see in the code charts a code chart for the Bamum Supplement block (16800..16A3F) in which the names list shows the addition of that name alias. That does not appear.	Add a code chart and names list for the Bamum Supplement block with the added formal name alias for 1680B, “BAMUM LETTER PHASE-A MAEMGBIEE”	
Unicode	Code charts		Page 94, chart for Tulu-Tigalari	te	In the chart for Tulu-Tigalari (11380..113FF), the representative glyph for 113D1 TULU-TIGALARI REPHA has both a dotted circle and an enclosing dotted box. The dotted circle convention is used for combining marks. However, this character technically is not a combining mark: it has a general category of Letter Other. The dotted box convention has been used for reph characters that have special encoding and behavior, preceding a consonant letter but appearing above the consonant letter. See, for comparison, the representative glyphs for similar characters: 0D4E, 11941, 11D46, 11F02	Change the representative glyph for 113D1 removing the dotted circle element — this will match how the glyph is currently shown in Unicode 16.0 code charts: 	

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by ******)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial