Date: 2025-09-25

Title: Proposal on modifying 3 G-source representative glyphs

Source: Wang Xieyang (王谢杨), Center for Toponym Research of SISU (四川外国语大学

地名研究中心)

Status: Individual Contribution

Action: For consideration by IRG and China NB

1. Introduction

I suggest modifying the G-source representative glyphs of the 3 following characters to make them more consistent with actual usage. The source reference of the first character may also need to be changed accordingly.

Number	Unicode	Pronunciation	Original Glyph	Suggested Glyph	Suggested Reference
1	U+23591	táo	23591 + 75.9 GHZ-80020.16	梅	GDM-00014
2	U+2AA26	qì	2AA26	屿	No change.
3	U+3162D	duò	3162D 大 37.4 GDM-00044	夵	No change.

2. Evidence and others

2.1 U+23591 梅

The current representative glyph of U+23591 derived from 《汉语大字典》. It is a stable erroneous form of 掏 (U+638F).

作。元周致中《異域志·盤瓠》:"帝嚳高 至氏,宫中老婦耳内有膣耳,掏出如繭,以瓠盛之,以盤 覆之,有頃,化為五色之犬,因名瓠犬。"

Fig.1 榈 in 《汉语大字典(第二版)》

However, this glyph does not conform to the G-source convention and differs from the form used in Chinese place names.

Fig. 2 ^梅 in 《信息技术信息交换用汉字编码字符集第八辅助集》(SJ/T 11239-2001)
In fact, the glyph ^梅 is more commonly seen in modern published books.

來、充牢二反。**找**牛行遅。**稻**稻棺,《周書》云:"師乃稻。" 棺字鳥活反。亦作<mark>梅。慆悦樂。絛編絲繩。脩□通白□亦 曉。 **岁**滑。 **說**詢。 **本**進趣。 **笤**牛**飯。 週**古器。</mark>

Fig.3 梅 in 《切韵汇校》(中华书局, 2021 年, page186)

臺 西京雜記卷第一 金明樹, 難辨其眞名 即榆。 掌上林苑、 劉歆自稱, 文選蜀都賦劉注曰: 即桂花樹, 初 抱經堂本作 槐之一種 或即指扶老木 御羞、 本草綱目云: 人名, 生平無考。 有五丞。」可見以元鼎 實系葛洪僞托。 上林、 梅

Therefore, I propose that China change the representative glyph of U+23591 to 梅.

《西京杂记》(三秦出版社, 2006年, page59)

2.2 U+2AA26 屹

The current glyph of U+2AA26 does not conform to the G-source convention. It is actually derived from the glyph documented in IRG N1227 *Evidences for CJK_C1 Characters (from China)*.



Fig.5 in IRG N1227 Evidences for CJK_C1 characters (from China)

This character refers to a village in Nankang County, Jiangxi Province(江西省南康县). Locally, however, it is written as 屿. And the character was also printed as 屿 in 《江西省南康县地名志》.

朱屹里 Zhūshuài lǐ 在镇北1公里的山丘下。100户,494人。舒姓在此开基,原树多瘴气大,名舒屹里,后朱尚蔚从稍江绿田迁此,改名朱屹里,已住13代,后鄢姓迁入。

Fig.6 in 《江西省南康县地名志》(南康县地名办公室, 1984 年, page11)

The same glyph also appears in 《信息技术信息交换用汉字编码字符集第八辅助集》(SJ/T 11239-2001). Thus, it would be preferable to revise the G-source representative glyph of U+2AA26 to 片.

Fig.7 屿 in 《信息技术信息交换用汉字编码字符集第八辅助集》(SJ/T 11239-2001)

The current glyph of U+3162D was provided in handwritten form by the Ministry of Public Security of the People's Republic of China.



Fig.8 Evidence of 夵(U+3162D) in WS2017.

However, this is actually an one-off erroneous form of 夵 (U+5935). The character was consistently written as 夵 (U+5935) both on maps and in the book 《甘肃省康乐县地名录》.

苏集公社更名自然村名称

	大		队	生 产 队	原名称	更正名称	更名原因
ζ,	苏		集	前阴屲山	阴山山	前阴屲山	与地名不符
	半		坡	四队	阴山山	东阴屲山	与地名不符
	丰		台		薱 台	丰 台	音同字和意思不同
	丰		台	一至十四队	薱 台	丰 台	音同字和意思不同
	马		寨	庄 前	赤 古 披	囊 古 坡	新华字典上没有亦字
	马		寨	阴屲川队	阴洼川	阴山川	音同字和意思不同
	高	楼	子	童 家 寨	同家寨	童 家 寨	音同字和意思不同
	高	楼	子	童 家 沟	同家沟	童 家 沟	音同字和意思不同

Interestingly, another stable variant of 夵 (U+5935) is found in 《信息技术信息交换用汉字编码字符集第八辅助集》(SJ/T 11239-2001).

Fig.10 / in 《信息技术信息交换用汉字编码字符集第八辅助集》(SJ/T 11239-2001)

According to the information provided by the National Geomatics Center of China, we have confirmed that 亦 is used on a printed map of Shengzhou City, Zhejiang Province(浙江省嵊州市) and its surrounding area. Coincidentally, the dialectal character 亦 (U+5935) is commonly used in place names in this region. Its pronunciation was given as "duo" in 《库外字代码对照表》(中国测绘科学研究院, 2000 年), which is consistent with the dialectal pronunciation of 亦 documented in 《浙江地名疑难字研究》.

羍	dun	A871
夵	duo tao	A872
奋	meng	A873

 驮	duò	大	驮坪 驮塆 驮留村	景宁青田	
	Dduð ②yǎn	①山形上大下小 ②物上大下小	①奈柱岭 ②十里奈背	①北仑 ②松阳	
屑	ē	排泄大小便	鸟屑礁 射屑礁	北仓	

Fig.12 Dialectal pronunciation of 亦 documented in 《浙江地名疑难字研究》(中国社会科学出版社, 2012 年, page261)

We have also found another related variant, namely . This variant can be found in the 1919 edition of 《新昌县志》.



Fig.13 夵 in 民国《新昌县志》(卷五, page37)

Xinchang County (新昌县) also belongs to Zhejiang Province (浙江省) and it borders Shengzhou City (嵊州市). This indicates that writing 夵 (U+5935) with added dot might have been relatively popular in this area.

In summary, the glyph $\stackrel{\frown}{\infty}$ is more stable and regular, and may also have a longer history of use as a printed form. Therefore, I think it is preferable to change the representative glyph of U+3162D to $\stackrel{\frown}{\infty}$.

(End of Doc)