

Annotations from CD2-2375-11-09-ME-efh.pdf

Page 4

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:29:36
that

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:29:53
which

Annotation 3; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:29:56
that

Annotation 4; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:31:06
According to UK conventions, these should all be "which". This was the sentence that was driving me crazy.

Page 6

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:34:14
a mapping

Page 7

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:38:19
that

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:38:33
which?

Annotation 3; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:43:32
specifies the layout and contents of

Annotation 4; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:44:11
describes the information to be provided in

Page 8

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:46:24
annexes A and D,

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:47:07
and clause 12,

Page 9

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:52:02
the provision of such

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 12:53:06
delete this text to make it shorter. Also, I'm unsure what "provision" is supposed to mean here.

Page 10

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 14:18:40
according to Annex A.4.

Page 11

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 14:36:45

A copy of the appeal should also be sent to the subcommittee concerned with coded characters sets.

Page 13

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 14:45:02

8-bit coded graphic character set [either add this or drop the 8-bit code table from Annex D]

Page 14

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:25:34

equates

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:25:48

shall equate

Annotation 3; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:40:41

16 rows by 16 columns

Annotation 4; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:41:35

Annex D.4 shows a code table of 24 x 24. Ed thinks that we should follow the 10646 convention of using multiple 16 x 16 code tables.

Annotation 5; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:41:54

multiple A. 4.1 A mapping of the characters in the coded code tables of

Annotation 6; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:42:27

A. 4.1 A mapping of the characters in the coded

Page 15

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:31:54

the coded character sets with mappings to ISO/IEC 10646

Page 17

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:35:06

complete coding system,

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:35:24

coded character set,

Page 18

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:37:58

that

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:38:13

which?

Page 22

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:44:13

01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:44:21

01

Annotation 3; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:45:06

17 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 0A 0B 0C 0D 0E 0F 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

Annotation 4; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:46:27

Hex and decimal values are inconsistent. Also, neither number reflects the use of bit 6 to add 32 to the numbers.

Page 25

Annotation 1; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:47:02

Example mapping table

Annotation 2; Label: Edwin F Hart; Date: 2000-11-09 15:49:20

I'd recommend either using a single column, or greatly widening the space between columns to say 25 or 30 mm. I'm unsure if you noted that I used a smaller point courier font to try to keep the same cap height between it and Helvetica.