

CLDR - Person Name Validation



Michael McKenna - UTW October 2024

2024-10-22

Problem

CLDR Person Name

- Name formatting
- But no name validation

given: Jane
surname: Doe

given: 駿
surname: 宮崎

vs.

given: Jane
surname: Dddóöoôè

given: !駿<<
surname: 宮 🦁 崎

Solution - validation

- Simple validation
- Different levels for different scenarios
- Customizable to adapt to specific needs

- Close to "normal" expectations by language

Solution - getting there

- Name data analysis
- Existing software application practices
- Research on name characteristics
- Modern naming practices
- Regulatory constraints
- CLDR contributor feedback

Validation levels

- Strict
- Customized
- Lenient
- Minimal

Strict Validation

- Expectations for names in a locale
- People move and keep names
- Validate for "affinity groups" of locales
 - ⇒ TC: most major languages
 - ⇒ DDL: Digitally Disadvantaged Languages
- Examples
 - ⇒ TC Latin
 - ⇒ Han | Japanese
 - ⇒ Cyrillic | Greek | Arabic | Hebrew
 - ⇒ Thai | Devanagari | Tamil | ...
- DDL
 - ⇒ Union of TC + DDL language validation attributes

Tailored Validation

→ Customized by client

- ⇒ Specific set of characters
- ⇒ Specific set of punctuation
- ⇒ Possible length requirements

→ Example scenarios

- ⇒ Passports
- ⇒ Government registries
 - e.g. [UK Deed Poll](#) ([allowed characters](#), punctuation, length)
 - e.g. [US naming laws](#) (no diacritics in California)
 - e.g. [JP name registration](#) (Jimmeiyo Kanji, katakana, punctuation)
- ⇒ Compliance onboarding
 - specific to contracted service requirements

Lenient Validation

Used when more flexibility is required

→ May need more than one script in entry field

⇒ e.g.

given: "Hayao (駿)"

surname: "Miyazaki (宮崎)"

→ Allows

⇒ All *letters* for all scripts

⇒ Most punctuation - note: can allow "unsafe" sequences such as <script>

⇒ No emoji

→ But want to avoid confusables and obfuscation

⇒ example rules

→ Cannot mix scripts in one string of letters or "token" - "Δoe"

→ Must have letters $\backslash p\{Letter\}$ in name fields

→ Cannot be all punctuation

Minimal Validation

Bare bones validation.

- Minimal validation
- Valid Unicode
- No control or formatting characters
- Must have at least one letter in each populated field
- "Invisible" ZWSP and some RTL direction controls allowed in context with certain scripts

given: "Jane123🎉"

surname: "Doe⸗a!"

Assumptions

Name data to be validated:

- All html/xml entities, NCRs resolved
- Normalized to NFC
- Data security to be done by the client
 - ⇒ UTR #36 Unicode Security Considerations
 - ⇒ OWASP (Open Worldwide Application Security Project)

Normalization Forms

- NFC - Composed form. Primary form used in analysis
- NFD - Decomposed form. Used is specific validation steps

Name Fields

At least one primary name field must be populated

given or surname

If validating a stand-alone single string, it must not be empty or null.

Client needs to set requirements if it needs specific name field to be required.

	Strict	Customized	Lentent	Minimal
Not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓

Valid Unicode, not invisible

All data must be valid, defined Unicode

No control characters `\p{Cc}`

No “invisible” characters

specials, language tags, most format characters

Special cases allowed, by script

E.g. ideographic variation selectors, RTL

	Strict	Customized	Lenient	Minimal
not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓
valid Unicode	✓	✓	✓	✓
control characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
invisible characters	✓	✓	✓	✓

Analyze data by "Tokens"

A name field is broken into "tokens"

UAX #29 - Unicode Text Segmentation

→ Groups of letters and non-letters

Example: "Miyazaki (宮崎)" ⇒ "Miyazaki|(宮崎)|"

2 letter group tokens, 3 non-letter tokens

Example: "van O'Leary" ⇒ "van|O'Leary|"

2 letter tokens, 1 non-letter (apostrophe recognized)

Names have letters

At least one token in each field must be letters

"^\p{Letter}+\$"

OK: "Jane Doe" | "جين ڈو" | "ジエーン・ドウ"

Not OK: ";;; ;;" | "👉①②③" | ". = °—"

	Strict	Customized	Lenient	Minimal
not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓
valid Unicode	✓	✓	✓	✓
control characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
invisible characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
one token must be letters	✓	✓	✓	✓

Non-spacing letters

Non-spacing letters must be appropriate for surrounding script

- CJK variation selectors
- RTL script → formatters

	Strict	Customized	Lenient	Minimal
not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓
valid Unicode	✓	✓	✓	✓
control characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
invisible characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
one token must be letters	✓	✓	✓	✓
non-spacing characters	✓	✓	✓	✓

Repeating Non-Letters

Except for opening and closing punctuation “(((({{{}})))”, you should (usually) not see repeating non-letters.

`\p{P}-\p{Po}\p{Pe}`

Language data:

- `maxIdenticalNonLetters { count }`
- `maxRepeatingNonLetters { count }`
- `allowedRepeatingNonLetters { set }`

(not checked for *minimal* validation)

	Strict	Customized	Lenient	Minimal
not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓
valid Unicode	✓	✓	✓	✓
control characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
invisible characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
one token must be letters	✓	✓	✓	✓
non-spacing characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
repeating non-letters	✓	✓	✓	

Punctuation

- Non-letter punctuation at beginning or end of field
- Balanced start $\backslash p\{Ps\}$ and end $\backslash p\{Pe\}$ punctuation

OK: "Miyazaki (宮崎)" | "Mhd." | "Thos., Esq."

Not OK: ",Miyazaki)宮崎("

	Strict	Customized	Lenient	Minimal
not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓
valid Unicode	✓	✓	✓	✓
control characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
invisible characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
one token must be letters	✓	✓	✓	✓
non-spacing characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
repeating non-letters	✓	✓	✓	
punctuation placement	✓	✓	✓	
balanced punctuation	✓	✓	✓	

Combining Marks

Normalize to NFD

- No combining mark by itself
- No combining mark after non-letters
- Not OK:** "ó abc" | O'ó Leary".
- No repeating identical combining marks
 - ⇒ e.g. two acute accents in a row ó ó

maxContiguousCombiningMarks

	Strict	Customized	Lenient	Minimal
not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓
valid Unicode	✓	✓	✓	✓
control characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
invisible characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
one token must be letters	✓	✓	✓	✓
non-spacing characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
repeating non-letters	✓	✓	✓	✓
punctuation placement	✓	✓	✓	✓
balanced punctuation	✓	✓	✓	✓
repeating combining marks	✓	✓	✓	✓

Source	:	NFD
š	:	s ◌ ◌
1E69		0073 0323 0307
đ	:	d ◌ ◌
1E0B 0323		0064 0323 0307
q̇	:	q ◌ ◌
0071 0307 0323		0071 0323 0307

Repeating Letters

Strip out accents after NFD

"Jàáaâäñne" → "Jaa~~aaa~~nne"

maxRepeatingBaseLetters { count }

allowedRepeatingBaseLetters { array }

OK: "Gro~~sss~~schadel"

	Strict	Customized	Lenient	Minimal
not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓
valid Unicode	✓	✓	✓	✓
control characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
invisible characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
one token must be letters	✓	✓	✓	✓
non-spacing characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
repeating non-letters	✓	✓	✓	
punctuation placement	✓	✓	✓	
balanced punctuation	✓	✓	✓	
repeating combining marks	✓	✓	✓	
repeating letters	✓	✓	✓	

One script per token

Letter tokens must be in one script

OK: "Miyazaki (宮崎)"

not OK: "GalגלGadotגדות" (one token)

	Strict	Customized	Lenient	Minimal
not empty	✓	✓	✓	✓
given or surname	✓	✓	✓	✓
valid Unicode	✓	✓	✓	✓
control characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
invisible characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
one token must be letters	✓	✓	✓	✓
non-spacing characters	✓	✓	✓	✓
repeating non-letters	✓	✓	✓	
punctuation placement	✓	✓	✓	
balanced punctuation	✓	✓	✓	
repeating combining marks	✓	✓	✓	
repeating letters	✓	✓	✓	
one script per token				✓

Exemplar Characters

CLDR Exemplar sets of characters used for writing a language

→ Letters

en: abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz àáâãäåæç
èéêëìíîïñòóô õøùúûüÿāă ēěīĭ ōōœ ūŭ

fr: abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz ßàáâãäåæç
èéêëìíîïñòóô õöøùúûÿā ċē ī ij œřšf ů

→ Punctuation

⇒ e.g.: en: [!"# & '()*+,-./ :;?@[] \$ % & ' " + # ... ' "]
⇒ e.g.: fr: [!"# & '()*+,-./ :;?@[] \$ « » - - - - ' " + # ...]

What we are *not* doing

- No vowels check?
 - "Twm", "Tylhr", "Ng"
 - 'y', 'h', 'w', 'r', 'j' act as vowels in some language
- Single letter check?
 - "Malcom X"
 - "Harry S Truman"
 - "Karen O"
- Offensive terms
 - Language dependent
 - May be real names in some locales
- Statistical name pattern / gibberish checks?
 - Requires in-depth analysis
 - Large data requirement or remote service

Next steps

- Complete proposal
- Data structure definition
- Prototype
- Review, feedback

Thank you



U+3010



U+30B7



U+30E1



U+260E



U+30C7



U+1F47D



U+2602



U+4E2A



U+1F60C



U+03A8



U+063A



U+30DF



U+03B5



U+3007



U+267A



U+03B6



U+304F



U+0D26

Thank you to our Organizational Members



Thank you to our Industry and Media Partners

