>From - Wed Feb 19 08:10:06 1997

To: joezhg@public.bta.net.cn cc: whchoi@cosmos.kaist.ac.kr

Subject: What happend to U+7FC5?

Date: Tue, 18 Feb 1997 15:48:59 PST From: "Ken Lunde" <lunde@Adobe.COM>

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001 Content-Length: 291

Zhoucai,

What happened to the KS mapping for U+7FC5? It maps to  $0 \times 6347$ 

in KS C 5601-1992 ("0-6347" according to the mapping files), but I see

that it instead maps to 2-542D in the N443.txt and N411A.txt files,

which refers to a KS standard I am not aware of).

Regards...

-- Ken

>From - Wed Feb 19 10:04:06 1997

To: joezhg@public.bta.net.cn

cc: whchoi@cosmos.kaist.ac.kr, mduerst@ifi.unizh.ch,

acmuller@gol.com

Subject: KS mapping errors, I think

Date: Tue, 18 Feb 1997 18:05:55 PST From: "Ken Lunde" <lunde@Adobe.COM>

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001 Content-Length: 1615

Zhoucai,

Hello again! I went through the mappings for KS C 5601-1992 and KS C 5657-1991 using previous data available and the N411A.txt and

N443.txt mapping files at the IRG web site

(http://www.cs.cuhk.edu.hk/~irg/). Here are my findings (all character codes are in hexadecimal):

o U+7FC5 should map to 0-6347 not 2-5D51

This results in 4,619 mappings to KS C 5601-1992 (not counting the

268 in the compatibility zone), which is one less than the required

4,620 for full compatibility with KS C 5601-1992. This is a problem,

for sure.

o U+87D2 should map to 1-602D not 2-5D3F

This incorrect mapping was in N411A.txt, but removed in N443.txt. Apparently, the incorrect mapping in N411A.txt (2-5D3F)

overwrote the (correct) 1-602D mapping, but when the 2-5D3F mapping

was removed (well, moved to U+87E2), the 1-602D was not restored.

This resulted in 2,855 mappings, one less than the required 2,856

for compatibility with KS C 5657-1991. Another problem.

Can these be explained? Also, to what specific KS standards do

the "2" and "3" mappings refer? (The "3" mappings are in the additional et of 6,585 han characters.) I am aware of only KS C 5601-1992 ("0") and KS C 5657-1991 ("1"). Are these new KS standards

published yet? If so, what are their designations?
 Regards...

## -- Ken

P.S. All of this makes me wonder what other errors are lurking in the

data. I did a "diff" on the previous mappings, which turned up

these two errors, so chances are that the basic two KS mappings

have only these two errors in the IRG's latest data.