Response to IRGN 1636

Revision text for Annex I of WG2 P&P

I.2 Guideline for "to be disunified" errors

    A. The ideographs to be disunified should be disunified and should be given separate code positions as soon as possible (disunification in some sense, and character name change in some sense also). These ideographs will have two separate glyphs and two separate code positions. One of these ideographs will stay at its current encoded position. The other one will have a new glyph and a new code position.

    B. For the ideographs that are encoded, the code charts in ISO/IEC 10646 are presented in multiple columns, with possibly differing glyph shapes in each column. The question of which glyph shall remain in the current code point will be resolved by the IRG on a case by case basis.

    C. The disunified ideograph will have a glyph that is different from the one that retains the current code position.

    D. The net result will be an addition of new ideograph character and a correction and an additional entry to the source reference table.

V.S. UMAmaheswaran, Ph.D.
Globalization Centre of Competency, IBM Toronto Lab
A2/SZ8, 8200 Warden Avenue, Markham, ON, Canada, L6G1C7; +1 905 413 3474;
Fax:905 413 4682; TieLine 313-3474; email: umavs@ca.ibm.com

Deleted: in the BMP

Deleted: be used for

Deleted: ly encoded

Deleted: ideograph

Deleted: as follows. In the interest of synchronization between ISO/IEC 10646 and the Unicode standard, the ideograph with the glyph shape that is similar to the glyph that is published in the "Unicode Charts" will continue to be associated with its current code position. ···

Deleted: For the ideographs outside the BMP, the glyph shape in ISO/IEC 10646 and the Unicode Charts are identical and will be used with its current code position···