ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2/IRG N1782
Doc. #: Korea JTC1/SC2 K2054

Korea JTC1/SC2, Committee on Character Codes

KIM, Kyongsok, Chairperson of Korea JTC1/SC2
email: gimgs0 AT gmail DOT com, phone: +82-51-510-2292
address: Division of Computer Science and Engineering,
Pusan National University
2 Busandaehagro 63 Beon Gil, Geumjeonggu, BUSAN 609-735, Rep. of KOREA

Author: KIM, Kyongsok
Date: 2011.06.01.
Status: NB position
Subject: R.O.Korea's proposal for indicating relevant components of CJK characters in NB's comments/feedback
Relevant documents:

1. R.O.Korea suggests that, in NB's comments/feedbacks, NB indicate relevant component(s) of CJK characters "in red circles/boxes" so that other people can easily identify components in question. This will make a review process more efficient and thus save time.

2. Some examples are shown below:

2.1 Relevant components of CJK characters are indicated in red boxes.

Code	Glyph in 3ed/CD (IRG N1748)	Comment type	Comment
2001A		Unification	Are 不 and 不 the same glyph? Or is T glyph wrong?
200C4		Wrong Glyph	G and T glyphs are different from UCS glyph. Is UCS glyph wrong.
20180		Wrong Glyph	Which of T and UCS glyphs is correct?
201C3		Wrong Glyph	T glyph seems wrong: 化 in T glyph
201DC		Wrong Glyph	T glyph is wrong. This char is not composed of '𠂉/厶/也' but is composed of '人/厶/也'.

2.2 Relevant components of CJK characters are indicated in red circles

Code	Glyph in UCS 2003	Glyph in IRG N1748	Comment Type	Comment
20016			Font quality	
200A0			Unification	
2010D			Glyph design	The T-glyph is different from the *KX Dictionary* glyph.
20185			Font quality	

2.3 Relevant components of CJK characters are NOT indicated.

Code	Glyph in UCS 2003	Glyph in CD	Comment type	T_Comment
259FD 5-5655			Wrong glyph	“竹”should be “穴”
25A17 7-3072			Glyph changed	unifiable
25C40 5-4F30			Glyph changed	unifiable
25D01 7-4132			Glyph changed	Change Back

3. R.O.Korea suggests that the above proposal be added to IRG P&P as follows:

3.1 The current Sections of IRG P&P:

3.2 R.O.Korea suggests that Section 4.3 be added as follows:

// start of suggested text

4.3 Guidelines for comments

a. When a comment claims that component(s) of a glyph is wrong, the relevant component(s) of ideographs need to be indicated in red circles/boxes in comments files.

Similarly, when a comment claims that components of two or more Ideographs are the same or different, the corresponding components of two or more ideographs need to be indicated in red circles/boxes in comments files.

201C3	𠂉 UCS2003 化 T6-234B	Wrong Glyph	T glyph seems wrong: 化 in T glyph
2010D	UCS2003 GKX-0085.12 T5-2127	Glyph design	The T-glyph is different from the *KX Dictionary* glyph.

// end of suggested text

* * *