

Subject: Document format/processing suggestion
From: Ken Lunde <lunde@adobe.com>
Date: 15/5/2014 8:15 PM
To: Lu qin <csluqin@comp.polyu.edu.hk>
CC: irgeditors <irgeditors@ml.comp.polyu.edu.hk>

Dr. Lu,

I have a suggestion for the IRG document registry: all static documents, which account for 99% or more of the documents, must be PDF. They do not necessarily need to be submitted in PDF, though it should be recommended. If a document is not submitted in PDF, it is a relatively painless process to convert it into PDF. WG2 seems to adopt this approach, as does the UTC.

Why do I make this suggestion? Almost all contemporary browsers allow PDFs to be viewed inline. When I encounter an MS Word document, it is a painful two-step process to view it: 1) download the file; then 2) open the file in MS Word. It is also difficult to grab the URL for documents that download when clicked, such as MS Word ones.

Regards...

-- Ken

Subject: Re: [irgeditors] Document format/processing suggestion
From: "John H. Jenkins" <jenkins@apple.com>
Date: 16/5/2014 3:59 AM
To: Ken Lunde <lunde@adobe.com>
CC: æ∞∂' <csluqin@comp.polyu.edu.hk>, irgeditors
<irgeditors@ml.comp.polyu.edu.hk>

Ken Lunde <lunde@adobe.com> ©Û 2014¶~5§Î15§È 6:15 °gπD°G

> > Why do I make this suggestion? Almost all contemporary
browsers allow PDFs to be viewed inline. When I encounter an MS
Word document, it is a painful two-step process to view it: 1)
download the file; then 2) open the file in MS Word. It is also
difficult to grab the URL for documents that download when
clicked, such as MS Word ones.
> >

And not everybody has Microsoft Word.

Speaking for myself, this would be a great convenience. Of
course, one does not want to burden you over-much. I don't know
the situation on Windows, but on OS X, a "Print to PDF" feature
is available in every print dialog. Should this not be the case
on Windows, we would naturally do everything we could to
simplify the process.