

Title: Possible Disunification Errors
 Source: suzuki toshiya
 Status: Individual contribution

Background

In the comparison between proposed glyph shape and evidence glyph shape during IRG#43, there was a discussion whether the difference 亲:亲 and 亲:亲 could be recognized as a minor stroke issue. I found several disunifications for both cases, and all of them are cognate-but-disunified. This document summarizes the result, for the discussion whether they should be dealt as disunification errors /or not.

1. 亲:亲 Cases

亲 (U+2343D)	2343D 木 75.6 亲 亲 亲 UCS2003 GKX-0526.07 T6-3845	亲 (U+4EB2)	4EB2 丷 8.7 亲 亲 G0-4757 T3-2824
新 (U+230BA)	230BA 斤 69.10 新 新 新 UCS2003 GHZ-32027.03 T3-4731	新 (U+65B0)	65B0 斤 69.9 新 新 新 新 新 新 G0-5042 HB1-B773 T1-643B J0-3F37 K0-6366 V1-583C
親 (U+2787F)	2787F 見 147.10 親 親 親 UCS2003 GHZ-80038.19 T7-3B68	親 (U+89AA)	89AA 見 147.9 親 親 親 親 親 親 G1-4757 HB1-BFCB T1-7235 J0-3F46 K0-7651 V1-666A
嬖 (U+218CE)	218CE 女 38.14 嬖 嬖 嬖 UCS2003 GKX-0273.11 T5-5B36	嬖 (U+372A)	372A 女 38.13 嬖 嬖 G5-4068 T3-5055

According to Hanyu Da Zidian, there is no semantic difference between GHZ-32027.03 and U+65B0.

新 親
說文·斤部

同“新”。《正字通·斤部》：“新，本作新。”

According to Hanyu Da Zidian, there is no semantic difference between GHZ-80038.19 and U+89AA.

¹⁰親
同“親”。《正字通·見部》：“親，篆作親。”

Kangxi describes U+372A as same with GKX-0273.11, at the description on KX-0272.16

嬖
同 嬖
正字通
嬖 | 字

