

Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set
UCS

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/IRGN2597

Date: 2023-3-17

Doc. Type:	Member body contribution
Title:	Activity Report from TCA
Source:	TCA
Status:	Input to IRG meeting 60
Action:	For Your Information
Distribution:	IRG Members and Ideographic Experts
No. of pages:	3
Appendixes	None
Medium:	Electronic

1. In response to UTC's Activity Report Item 7:

TCA takes very seriously the feedbacks we receive from member bodies/experts. We do not want to force the IRG to accept TCA's submissions, it just wants to understand what is required. In IRG#59's Recommendations M59.8 (IRGN 2570), it is clearly pointed out that the IRG accepted the evidences submitted by TCA.

The IRG's review is based primarily on the shape of the character, with the reading and meaning of the characters being used only as an assist in determining whether or not unify. Therefore, when reviewing any character of personal name, it is possible to review them in terms of their shape. In the case of personal names, once the government permits their use, they become the basis for daily life and legal matters, regardless of whether they are typo. See IRGN2554ReisoFeedback.

Please note that the most important thing in Taiwan is that "the number of name characters will not continue to increase" is already restricted by law.

All review principles must be based on the IRG PnP and there should not be multiple review criteria, which I don't think the IRG will be.

The TCA has found a few examples:

Example 1, the Japanese name submission stated that for privacy reason, only the glyphs and pronunciation of the TABLE are provided, no expert has questioned this and no member body has ever asked for the handwritten glyphs of the applicant.

of research literature? Can't a living person's driver's license, insurance, or bank account be used?

Having attended the IRG meeting so far, we don't know how to proceed with the review. TCA has been submitted under PnP rules and the reviewers still consider the information insufficient. TCA recommends that the IRG seriously discuss the PnP rules regarding the use of names (living and dead names).

TCA is very supportive of the UTC's view that the coding does need to be more careful and cautious, so please have the member bodies also take a serious look at the material presented, not just the TCA submission.

2. The redesign project of the Variant Character Dictionary and the CNS 11643 website

Member bodies often use the [Variant Character Dictionary](#) and the [CNS 11643 website](#) during IRG meetings or glyph reviews. Recently, the Ministry of Education (MOE) has started to revamp the Variant Character Dictionary and the Ministry of Digital Affairs (MODA) is planning to redesign the CNS 11643 website by the end of 2023. If you have any suggestions on the functionality of these two websites, please feel free to provide them to TCA and we will try our best to integrate your suggestions into our project.

The CNS 11643 website is still in the functional planning stage and there is still time to incorporate the needs of the experts. And the Variant Character Dictionary is in the process of revision, we think it is still possible to make adjustments or add new features.

(End of document)