Universal Multiple - Octet Coded Character Set UCS

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2/IRG N2709

Date: 2024-10-01

Source: TCA

Title: UNC proposal for 2 TCA's UNC Characters

Meeting: IRG#63, Seoul, ROK
Status: Member's submission
Actions required: To be considered by IRG

Distribution: IRG

Medium: Electronic

Page: 5 Appendix: 2

In this UNC proposal, TCA is applying for two Hakka characters that are included in "the MOE's Reference List of Native Language Characters".

The following files have been provided as the attachments.

- IRGN2709_TCA_UNC_attribute
- IRGN2709 TCA UNC font

1. Proposed UNC Character

The following table shows the attributes for the proposed characters.

T-source	PUA	Glyphs	RS	SC	TS	FS	IDS	HAKKA Pronunciation	T/S
TB-7D2C	U+E000	殿	29.0	11	13	5	□出叕	dud	1
TB-7D2F	U+E001	咻	128.0	12	6	3	□耳休	hio	1

Note: [□出叕] is the same form as <u>WS2024-00374</u> GCW-00020.

2. Introduction

In 2023, Taiwan's Ministry of Education (MOE) compiled the "MOE's Reference List of Native Language Characters (教育部本土語言成果参考字表)" to promote native language writing systems. This list contains 6,792 characters,

drawing from various MOE achievements in promoting Taiwanese Taigi(臺灣台語) and Taiwan Hakka(臺灣客語) writing systems, as well as referencing certification data from the Hakka Affairs Council.

Two characters in this list have not yet been encoded in ISO/IEC 10646. Since these native language characters are used for educational purposes and in daily life, and to ensure that operating systems can fully support these characters, TCA has submitted a UNC proposal, hoping to have them encoded as soon as possible.

3. Evidence

(1)List of Native Language Characters published by the Ministry of Education on its website



Fig. 2.1: Ministry of Education Native Language Achievement Network

編號	字元	參考字形		Unicode		CNS11643	Big5	筆畫及部首	
利用 分元		楷體	宋體	碼位	區段	碼位	碼位	筆畫	部首
6787	癌	痔	痦	U+308FB	Ext-F	11-7321		15	扩
6788	轥	轤	轥	U+30E6C	Ext-F	5-7C53		25	車
6789	处	处	处	U+31C7F	Ext-G	11-723F		7	皮
6790	嫒	嫒	嫒	U+FFB1B	SPUA	11-7D29		17	心
6791	鰕	蝦	鮾	U+FFB1A	SPUA	11-7D2C		13	又
6792	咻	咻	咻	U+FFB19	SPUA	11-7D2F		12	耳

Fig. 2.2: Word list of Ministry of Education Bulletins

(1) Ministry of Education's Online Dictionary



Fig. 2.3 Taiwan Hakka Dictionary《臺灣客語辭典》

(End of document)

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2/IRG

PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITION OF CJK UNIFIED IDEOGRAPHS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646

Submitters are reminded to:

1.Fill in all the sections below.

2. Read the Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) available at https://appsrv.cse.cuhk.edu.hk/~irg/irg56/IRGN2424Confirmed.pdf for guidelines and details before filling in this form.

3. Use the latest Form from

https://appsrv.cse.cuhk.edu.hk/~irg/irg/irg56/IRGN2424SubmissionForm.xlsx

See also http://appsrv.cse.cuhk.edu.hk/~irg/irgwids.html for the latest *Unifiable Component Variations*.

See also itte	p.//uppsiv.ese.eunk.euu.nk/ ng/ngwus.num ioi the i	atest Enijiabie Component vari	unons.					
Administrative								
1. IRG Project Code:	IRG Project Code: IRGN2709							
2. Title:	UNC proposal for 2 TCA's UNC Characters							
3. Submitter's Region/Countr		TCA						
4. Submitter Type (National	ember							
5. Submission Date:	2024-10	-10-01						
6. Requested Ideograph Type	Unified Ideo	Ideographs						
If Compatibility, the si	ubmitter is strongly encouraged to instead regist		N/A					
an existing IVD collect	ction(See UTS #37) with the IRG's approval (R	Registration fee will not be						
charged if authorized b	by the IRG.).							
7. Proposal Type (Normal Pr	Urgently N	ntly Needed						
8. Choose one of the following	ng:							
This is a complete prop	posal.		Yes					
(or) More information v	will be provided later.							
3. Technical – General								
1. Number of ideographs in t	he proposal:		2					
2. Glyph format of the propo	sed ideographs is in TrueType?		Yes					
Are all the proposed g	lyphs put into BMP PUA area?		Yes					
Are data for source ref	Gerences vs. character codes provided?		Yes					
	eographs have a unique, proper source reference on followed by no more than 9 alphanumeric cha		Yes					
4. Evidence:	-							
scanned image of print	ideographs have a separate evidence document ted materials (preferably dictionaries)?		Yes					
b. Do all the printed r third party (ISBN num	naterials used for evidence provide enough infoliors, etc.)?	ormation to track them by a	Yes					

Excel

5. Attribute Data Format: (Excel file or CSV text)

C. Technical - Checklist

Uno	lerstanding of the Unification Principles	
1.	Has the submitter read ISO/IEC 10646 Annex S and does the submitter understand the unification principles?	Yes
2.	Has the submitter read the "Unifiable Component Variations" (contact the IRG technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the latest version) and does the submitter understand the unifiable variation examples?	Yes
3.	Has the submitter read the IRG PnP document and does the submitter understand the 5% Rule?	Yes
	aracter-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.ipsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones those under ballot)	
4.	Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the unified or compatibility ideographs of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646?	Yes
	If the checking has been done against an earlier version of ISO/IEC 10646, please specify the version. (e.g. 10646:2012)	
5.	Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? If yes, which amendment(s) has the submitter checked?	Yes
6.	Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646?	Yes
	If yes, which draft amendment(s) has the submitter checked?	
7.	Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list)	Yes
		S2021、WS2024
8.	Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document)	Yes
9.	Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>similar ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above?	Yes
10.	Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>variant ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above?	Yes
Att	ribute Data	
	Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)?	Yes
12.	Do the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke are also provided?	No
13.	Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in 簡化字總表)	
	among the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposal include proper simplified/traditional indication flag for each proposed ideograph in the attribute data?	
14.	Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data?	No
15.	Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data?	Yes
	If no, how many proposed ideographs do not have the IDS?	
	If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?	Yes
17.	Do all the proposed ideographs contain the total stroke count (kTotalStrokes) ¹ ?	Voc
		Yes

¹ The IRG understands that kTotalStrokes can be ambiguous and subject to different interpretations. The IRG takes no responsibility to check the correctness of the submitted attribute data.