Source:	Yi BAI
Title:	Issues about one unification case in Working Set 2021
Status:	Individual Contribution to IRG #63
Action:	To be considered by TCA, ROK and IRG

After careful check against the draft Extension J code charts by IDS, one character is clearly should be unified:

https://hc.jsecs.org/irg/ws2021/app/?id=03083

| 03083 | 120.0 糸

 ☐ 糸 㡺

 SC=11, FS=2 TS=17 | | | | 繛
 TE-2725 | | | | SC=11, TS=17, 2023-04.
 Evidence accepted, IDS=☐糸 㡺, IRG 60.
 Glyph updated, 2022-05.
 Change glyph to (☐糸 㡺), T source update to TE-2725, Add new UCV rule of 㡺 and 㡺 as level 2, IRG 58. |

The character should have been unified to U+2E23A.

(U+2E23A on current code chart)

| 130
 (虍) | 130-1 | 虍虍 | 䞂-䟤[B074;167d;6(乙)]
 虐-虐[B086;192b;1(未詳)]
 虞-虞[B094;510d;6(丙)]

 虞-虝[B065;260a;7(丁)] | ※ refer to the UCV_N311
 20190417 evidence replaced
 20190515 evidence added |

(Rule 130-1, excerpt from KRNormalizationRulesV1_6)

The reason we missed the unification in previous IDS check was the outer part of right component, which is a very common variant of 虍, is not in UCV list. It is a variant of 虍 per Korean normalization rule 130-1, which postdates the standardization of the Extension F in which U+2E23A is encoded. Should the rule have been in effect, the component would have been normalized to 虍. Furthermore, the current Korean source's glyph in the system also shows an exact match to the glyph.

자형	縳

(koreanhistory.or.kr/newchar/list_view.jsp?code=77249, Glyph in the link shown as a exact match of ws2021 03083 in Korean system)

As working set 2021 has been submitted to WG2 and compiled as Extension J at this stage, the unification should be done by submitting ballot comments to WG2. Thus, we request TCA and ROK consider this unification case, and if proper, submitting ballot comments to an upcoming WG2 meeting.

Also, regardless of the unification result, I suggest adding the 虍 variants to UCV in the upcoming IRG 63 meeting.

虍 虍

(Proposed UCV entry)

(End of Document)