# ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2 N3563R

L2/08-020R

2009-03-13

Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set International Organization for Standardization Organisation internationale de normalisation Международная организация по стандартизации

**Doc Type: Working Group Document** 

Title: Proposal to encode two Cyrillic characters in the BMP of the UCS

**Source: Ralph Cleminson and Michael Everson** 

Status: **Individual Contribution** 


**Action:** For consideration by JTC1/SC2/WG2 and UTC

Date: 2009-03-13

**1.** Character additions. This document requests the addition of two new Cyrillic characters to the UCS. They are used in certain early Russian documents and are necessary if these are to be adequately encoded. For conformity with the rest of the block, both a capital and a small character are requested.

# ЦЦ

REVERSED TSE II μ (in Slavonic style II μ) is commonly found in documents produced in Novgorod and its environs from the 11th to the 15th centuries. In the language of this area the distinction between u (ts) and  $\Psi$  (tf) had been eliminated, and  $\Pi$  replaces both these characters in the documents. It cannot be considered equivalent to either of them, and therefore neither can replace it in transcription.



**Figure 1.** Novgorod Birch-Bark Letter № 439 (beginning of the 13th century). This shows four examples of µ, of which the first and third correspond to etymological ц and the second and fourth to ч. The characters ц and ч are not used. Published in: А. В. Арциховский, В. Л. Янин, Новгородские грамоты на бересте (из раскопок 1962-1976 гг.). Москва: Наука, 1978, pp.41-45. Although the REVERSED TSE Н in this sample has an apparent similarity to a REVERSED CHE Y, this resemblance is accidental, because at the time the Birch-Bark Letters were written, the letter CHE had a centred vertical, Y.

**2. Ordering.** The relative position of the CYRILLIC REVERSED TSE is shown in red below.

```
д << <sup>¯</sup> < d < ƒ < ƒ < ƒ < g < e < <sup>¯</sup> < è < ë < ë < e <
```

## **3. Unicode Character Properties.** Character properties are proposed here.

A660; CYRILLIC CAPITAL LETTER REVERSED TSE; Lu; 0; L;;;;; N;;;; A661; A661; CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER REVERSED TSE; Ll; 0; L;;;;; N;;; A660;; A660

## 4. Bibliography.

Живов В. М. 2006. *Восточнославянское правописание XI–XIII века*. РАН; Ин-т русского языка им. В.В.Виноградова. М.: Языки славянской культуры, 2006. С. 100, ссылка 22

Попов, М. Б. 2005. Проблемы синхронической и диахронической фонологии русского языка. СПб. С. 214215

Worth Dean S. 1985. "Mirror Reversals in Novgorod Paleography", in *Language and Literary Theory:* (*In Honor of Ladislav Matejka*). (Papers of Slavic Philology; № 5)Ann Arbor: University of Michigan. Pp. 215-222.

**Row A6: CYRILLIC EXTENDED-B** 

	A64	A65	A66	A67	A88	A89
0	Z	Ы	Ц	x. x. x x. x. x	Д	Ţ
1	Z	Ъ	Щ		Д	T
2	Z	ТБ	Д	= <u>#</u> =	S	प
3	Z	ΙΈ	Д	坹	S	ষ
4	S	Ю	Л		Ж	h
5	S	Ю	ЛГ		<b>Ж</b>	ĥ
6	l	Н	М		щ	Щ
7	ι	на	М		щ	Щ
8	Λ	A	0		Д₃	
9	Λ̈́	A	0		Д	
Α	R	æ	<b>©</b>		$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{T}}$	
В	8	Æ	•		Ђ	
С	$\mathbb{C}$	Н	$\infty$	) (	τ	
D	ω	Ы	00	ँ	τ	
E	Ъ	<b>1</b>	<b></b>	Ú	IJ	
F	Ъ	<b>1</b>	ि	ч	IJ	

# **Row A6: CYRILLIC EXTENDED-B**

hex	Name		hex	Name
401 42 43 44 45 46 74 48 49 A4B CDEF 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	CYRILLIC CAPITAL LETTER ZEMLYA CYRILLIC SAMALL LETTER ZEMLYA CYRILLIC CAPITAL LETTER DZELO CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER BYERSED DZE CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER REVERSED DZE CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER REVERSED DZE CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER BYERSED DZE CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER DJENY CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER BONOOGRAPH UK CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER BONOOGRAPH UK CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER BONOOD OMEGA CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER BONOOD OMEGA CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER NEUTRAL YER CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER NEUTRAL YER CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER NEUTRAL YER CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER SETAL YER CYRILLIC CAPITAL LETTER SETAL YER CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER OTHIFIED YAT CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER OTHIFIED YAT CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER BENDED YU CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER SETAL SETAL CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER SETAL CYRILLIC SAMALL LETTER SETAL CYRILLIC SAMALL LETTER SETAL CYRILLIC SAMALL LETTER SETAL CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER SETAL CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER SETAL CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER SETAL CYRILLIC SMALL LETTER SE		99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	(This position shall not be used)

#### ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2 PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS FOR ADDITIONS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 106461

Please fill all the sections A, B and C below.

Please read Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) from http://www.dkuuq.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/principles.html for

guidelines and details before filling this form.

Please ensure you are using the latest Form from http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/summaryform.html. See also <a href="http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html">http://www.dkuug.dk/JTC1/SC2/WG2/docs/roadmaps.html</a> for latest Roadmaps.

#### A. Administrative

1. Title: Proposal to add Cyrillic Reversed Tse					
2. Requester's name: Professor R.M.Cleminson & Michael Eversor	n				
3. Requester type (Member body/Liaison/Individual contribution): individual contribution	n				
4. Submission date: 2009.01.20					
5. Requester's reference (if applicable):					
6. Choose one of the following:					
This is a complete proposal:	yes				
B. Technical – General					
1. Choose one of the following:					
a. This proposal is for a new script (set of characters):					
Proposed name of script:  b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:					
b. The proposal is for addition of character(s) to an existing block:	yes				
Name of the existing block: Cyrillic Extended - B					
2. Number of characters in proposal:					
3. Proposed category (select one from below - see section 2.2 of P&P document):					
A-Contemporary B.1-Specialized (small collection) x B.2-Specialized (large collection)	ion)				
C-Major extinct D-Attested extinct E-Minor extinct					
F-Archaic Hieroglyphic or Ideographic G-Obscure or questionable usage sys	mbols				
	yes				
a. If YES, are the names in accordance with the "character naming guidelines"					
in Annex L of P&P document?	yes				
	yes				
5. Who will provide the appropriate computerized font (ordered preference: True Type, or PostScript for					
publishing the standard?  The proposers  If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate	,,				
If available now, identify source(s) for the font (include address, e-mail, ftp-site, etc.) and indicate					
6. References:					
a. Are references (to other character sets, dictionaries, descriptive texts etc.) provided?	yes				
b. Are published examples of use (such as samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sour of proposed characters attached?	rces)				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
<ol> <li>Special encoding issues:</li> <li>Does the proposal address other aspects of character data processing (if applicable) such as inp</li> </ol>	nut				
presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transliteration etc. (if yes please enclose information)?					
presentation, sorting, searching, indexing, transiteration etc. (ii yes prease enclose information)	: 110				
8. Additional Information:					
Submitters are invited to provide any additional information about Properties of the proposed Characte	er(s) or Script				
that will assist in correct understanding of and correct linguistic processing of the proposed character(s) or script.					
Examples of such properties are: Casing information, Numeric information, Currency information, Display behaviour					
information such as line breaks, widths etc., Combining behaviour, Spacing behaviour, Directional behaviour, Default					
Collation behaviour, relevance in Mark Up contexts, Compatibility equivalence and other Unicode normalization					
related information. See the Unicode standard at <a href="http://www.unicode.org">http://www.unicode.org</a> for such information on other scripts. Also					

see <a href="http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UCD.html">http://www.unicode.org/Public/UNIDATA/UCD.html</a> and associated Unicode Technical Reports for information

needed for consideration by the Unicode Technical Committee for inclusion in the Unicode Standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Form number: N3152-F (Original 1994-10-14; Revised 1995-01, 1995-04, 1996-04, 1996-08, 1999-03, 2001-05, 2001-09, 2003-11, 2005-01, 2005-09, 2005-10, 2007-03, 2008-05)

### C. Technical - Justification

	no							
Has this proposal for addition of character(s) been submitted before?  If YES explain								
2. Has contact been made to members of the user community (for example: National Body,								
user groups of the script or characters, other experts, etc.)?	yes							
If YES, with whom? Discussed within the International Committee of Slavists' Com								
the Computer-Supported Processing of Mediæval Sl	lavonic							
Manuscripts and Early Printed Books								
If YES, available relevant documents:								
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters (for example:								
aine aleman ann a line ainfeann atam atam an ann an								
Deference: Historians linguists archaeologists								
4. The context of use for the proposed characters (type of use; common or rare)								
Reference: Necessary for scholarly publications of mediaeval documents								
5. Are the proposed characters in current use by the user community?	yes							
If YES, where? Reference: see below								
6. After giving due considerations to the principles in the P&P document must the proposed characters	s be entirely							
in the BMP?	yes							
If YES, is a rationale provided?	yes							
If YES, reference: The Cyrillic alphabet belongs within the BMP.	,							
7. Charled the arranged phone term by Lond to eather the control of the charles to the control of the charles to the charles t	4/0							
7. Should the proposed characters be kept together in a contiguous range (rather than being scattered	d)? <u>y</u> es							
8. Can any of the proposed characters be considered a presentation form of an existing								
character or character sequence?	no							
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?								
If YES, reference:								
9. Can any of the proposed characters be encoded using a composed character sequence of either								
	no							
If VES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?								
If VES reference:								
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance or function)								
10. Can any of the proposed character(s) be considered to be similar (in appearance of function)	20							
to an existing character?	no							
If YES, is a rationale for its inclusion provided?								
If YES, reference:								
11. Does the proposal include use of combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?	no							
If YES, is a rationale for such use provided?								
If YES, reference:								
Is a list of composite sequences and their corresponding glyph images (graphic symbols) provid	ed?							
If YES, reference:								
12. Does the proposal contain characters with any special properties such as								
control function or similar semantics?	no							
If YES, describe in detail (include attachment if necessary)								
13. Does the proposal contain any Ideographic compatibility character(s)?	no							
If YES, is the equivalent corresponding unified ideographic character(s) identified?								
If VEC references								
If YES, reference:								